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Introduction

Crohn’s disease (CD) is a multifactorial disease characterized by a chronic inflammation affecting any segment of the gastrointestinal tract. The characteristic inflammation found in
CD is transmural, involving all layers of the intestinal wall, and leading to complications as intestinal obstruction, intramural abscesses and fistulas, and the need of surgical treatment. The terminal ileum is the most frequent site of inflammation, either isolated or associated with lesions in the colon and perianal region. When terminal ileitis presented as an acute inflammatory or obstructive abdomen, with a mass in the right iliac fossa, the main differential diagnosis are acute appendicitis, intestinal tuberculosis and other bacterial infections.

Among bacterial infections, ileitis caused by *Yersinia enterocolitica* (YE), many times, can have the diagnosis confirmed only by the histological examination of the resected segment. In these chronic forms of ileitis, in which histological examination of the surgical specimen presents associated infectious characteristics, the use of special stains, cultures or serological tests are not useful due to their low sensitivity and specificity. More refined histopathological techniques for antigen research, as immunohistochemistry, and detection of molecular material, as in Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), are needed. Frequently the association of immunohistochemistry and/or PCR, with clinical, laboratory, radiological and endoscopic findings, is required to confirm the proper diagnosis and follow-up of these patients.

**Case report**

A 26 years-old male, animal care technician, living in a rural area, was admitted in May 2014 because of abdominal pain, diarrhea and progressive weight loss in the last three years that has worsened in the recent months. On admission, he presented nausea, vomiting and an acute obstructive abdomen with a palpable mass in the right iliac fossa. The computed tomography scan showed a large mass with decreased bowel lumen and marked distention of small bowel loops. Colonoscopy, after retrograde bowel cleansing, showed an intensive inflammatory process in the cecum that did not allow the progression of the colonoscope to the terminal ileum. He was submitted to a right hemicolecotomy followed by a side-to-side stapled ileocolonic anastomosis. The patient evolved without complications in the postoperative period.

The analysis of the surgical specimen, presented macro- and micro-characteristics of CD (Figs. 1 and 2). However, some granulomas found in the intestinal wall and mesenteric lymph nodes presented suppuration suggestive of YE infection (Fig. 3). Immunohistochemistry and PCR for YE, *Yersinia pestis*, *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*, *Mycobacterium* sp. and only immunohistochemistry for *Brucella* sp. in the specimen’s paraffin blocks were performed in the Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention laboratory in Atlanta, USA. All tested negative for the bacteria aforementioned. The diagnostic of YE and other infectious agents was excluded and CD was confirmed.

The patient is in follow-up for four years. Currently he is asymptomatic, not taking medications for CD and without evidence of endoscopic recovery.
Discussion

The characteristic microscopic finding in CD is non-necrotizing granulomas composed of epithelioid histiocytes.\(^2\) In our patient, besides the typical histologic findings of CD we also found in the surgical specimen many suppurative granulomas suggesting YE infection. As the patient was living in a rural area and had close contact with animals, the differential diagnosis between YE infection and CD was needed. A misdiagnosis could result in critical delays or errors in the patient management.

YE is a globally distributed gram-negative bacillus that grows especially in low temperature countries and is relatively common in parts of northern Europe. Contaminated foods of swine origin, milk, water and vegetables, and more rarely, contact with host animals, such as pigs, rodents, birds, cattle, horses, sheep, goats, foxes, porcupines, dogs and cats, can lead to infection by this bacterium.\(^3\) The most common presentation of the disease is a self-limiting gastroenteritis, accompanied by mesenteric adenitis, low-grade fever and abdominal pain, lasting one to three weeks, affecting mainly children. Ileal perforation, rectal bleeding, reactive polyarthritis and septicemia have been reported as complications of yersiniosis.\(^4\) YE penetrate the intestinal mucosa and invade the underlying lymphoid tissue, mostly Peyer’s patches. Disease in older patients generally involves the terminal ileum, mesenteric lymph nodes and appendix, and sometimes can lead to an unnecessary appendectomy. Sonographic or computed tomography examination may provide indications to the diagnosis, such as mucosal bowel wall thickening with enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes in yersiniosis, whereas in ileocolic CD, transmural inflammation and noncompressible inflamed fat surrounding the ileum is found.\(^1\)

PCR based testing or isolation of the organism from stool, lymph nodes, bile, pharyngeal exudate, blood, abscesses or peritoneal fluid can help the diagnosis of YE infection. Serologic tests are available but generally not indicated in the clinical setting. PCR test in the operative specimens can identify the molecular material of YE and is considered the gold standard for diagnostic confirmation.\(^4,5\) Other infectious agents should be considered in the differential diagnosis of suppurrative necrosis granulomas, particularly, *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*, *Brucella* sp. and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Likewise, molecular and immunohistochemistry tests are important to establish the correct diagnosis for these agents.

The association of past intestinal YE infection and CD has been reported.\(^4,6\) Some investigators consider that infections in childhood may precipitate Crohn’s disease by generating an abnormal immune response directed toward cross-reacting antigens in the intestinal mucosa.\(^6\) Indeed, geographic areas where Crohn’s disease presents a high incidence, as Northern Europe, also have some of the highest rates of *Yersinia* infection. In the present case, we cannot rule out a past YE infection of our patient, especially when considering the presence of suppurrative granulomas found in the pathologic exam.

In conclusion, the differential diagnosis between CD and YE infection was mandatory due to the histopathologic findings of the operative specimen, the occupation, origin of the patient and clinical features. The accurate diagnosis was essential for the adequate follow-up of the patient. Even if he is not currently taking medications for CD, there is a need for constant surveillance, to detect relapses and adequately treat them. Biomolecular methods were essential to achieve this goal.
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