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Is total mesocolon excision necessary? A systematic review
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ABSTRACT

Complete mesocolon excision is an alternative in the treatment of neoplasias. The open technique was initially described for the procedure; however, it has some limitations. In this scenario, videolaparoscopic surgery is a minimally invasive alternative; however, its use in complete mesocolon excision is controversial. This study aimed to evaluate the results of videolaparoscopic complete mesocolon excision. A systematic review of the literature was performed for this study. Nine articles were selected, comprising 860 patients. The surgical results demonstrate the quality of the videolaparoscopic technique, which presents low morbidity and mortality and high survival rates after five years. Videolaparoscopy is a safe and effective method for complete mesocolon excision.
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Excisão total do mesocolon é necessário? Uma revisão sistemática

RESUMO

A excisão completa do mesocolon constitui-se como alternativa para o tratamento de neoplasias. A técnica aberta foi descrita inicialmente para a realização do procedimento, no entanto apresenta algumas limitações. Nesse cenário a cirurgia por videolaparoscopia apresenta-se como alternativa minimamente invasiva, no entanto sua empregabilidade para a excisão completa do mesocolon é motivo de controvérsia. O objetivo deste trabalho é estudar os resultados oriundos da cirurgia por videolaparoscopia aplicada à excisão completa do mesocolon. A revisão sistemática da literatura foi utilizada para a realização deste estudo. Nove artigos científicos foram selecionados, compreendendo 860 pacientes. Os resultados cirúrgicos demonstram a qualidade da técnica por videolaparoscopia, que
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Introduction

Minimally invasive surgery techniques, such as videolaparoscopy, allow surgical procedures to be performed through minimally invasive incisions, contributing to the reduction of postoperative pain, lower infection rates, and earlier recovery when compared with other methods.

Mesocolic excision can be used in the treatment of colon cancer, aiming to remove the colonic tissue affected by neoplasia as well as accessory tissues. The technique can be performed through open surgery, from the lateral–medial approach, or through laparoscopic surgery, in which an incision along the mesenteric axis, near the superior mesenteric vein is performed from medial-to-lateral or down-to-up approaches.

The scientific evidence about the use of laparoscopic technique for complete excision resides in retrospective studies; furthermore, in these studies, the patient follow-up periods were insufficient to evaluate surgical outcomes such as cancer recurrence or even whether interindividual differences, such as body mass index, may affect the surgical outcome. In addition, it is estimated that the laparoscopic technique is associated with a 16% increase in the risk of death, as well as an 11% increase in the risk of cancer recurrence. In turn, technological developments have allowed better results in laparoscopic surgeries, minimizing the risk of recurrence and mortality.

Therefore, this study aimed to assess the surgical results of laparoscopic total mesocolon excision, by analyzing prospective studies that described the surgical results and the period of follow-up of these patients.

Methods

This was a systematic review, elaborated according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. The Patients, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome (PICO) strategy was adopted for article selection: (1) Patients: patients who underwent surgery for total mesocolon excision; (2) Intervention: videolaparoscopic surgery; (3) Comparison: analysis of the results obtained and (4) Outcome: association between video technique and levels of morbidity and mortality.

Search strategy

In October 2018, a search was conducted in the PubMed and Cochrane Library databases, restricted to content published between the years 2005 and 2018 in the English language. The following search strategy was adopted: "Total Mesocolon Excision" OR "Complete Mesocolon Excision" AND "Videolaparoscopy".

In addition to the systematic search, studies were retrieved based on the bibliographic reference of related studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria comprised clinical studies describing the use of videolaparoscopic technique for total mesocolon excision.

Studies in animals or in vitro models, published in a language other than English, and case reports or letters to the editor were not included in this study.

Method of selection and data extraction

Duplicate articles and those who did not match the search topic were excluded from the search result. The abstracts of the remaining systematic review or meta-analysis articles were evaluated, and articles were selected in accordance with the inclusion criteria.

Data extraction comprised the following data: author, year of publication, sample and age, body mass index, intraoperative complications, postoperative complications, and length of hospital stay.

Evaluation of methodological quality

The level of evidence of the selected studies was evaluated in accordance with the scale provided by the Centre for Evidence-based Medicine, Oxford, UK. The studies were classified into five levels, according to the level of evidence for the study problem (Fig. 1).

Results

A total of 120 citations were retrieved through the search mechanism, among which nine met the inclusion criteria, totalling 860 patients who underwent videolaparoscopic procedure under different surgical conditions.

In accordance with the Oxford quality score, the methodological quality of the studies was considered poor. However, the double-blinded methodology is one of the main characteristics of the Oxford scale; prospective studies do not present such characteristics, resulting in low scores on the Oxford scale.

Nevertheless, patients were followed-up for a mean of 24 months, a variable that is not included in the Oxford scale and
that contributes to the methodological quality of the selected studies.

Total mesocolon excision aims to remove the tissue affected by neoplasias, while simultaneously removing the surrounding lymph nodes. Laparoscopic surgery is still controversial, and some centers have used open surgery for the removal of tumors and other treatments.6

Total mesocolon removal was initially described through open surgery; however, the videolaparoscopic procedure was described as safe in a large number of studies.7

The results selected in Table 1 indicate that total colon excision performed through videolaparoscopy on the right side presented a shorter operation time (green dots; Table 1). Takemasa et al. (2014)9 reported having performed laparoscopic procedures on both sides, however, the authors indicated that surgeries performed on the right side had shorter execution times.

Huang et al. (2015) compared the results between open and laparoscopic surgery for total mesocolon excision and observed shorter operating time for open surgery (mean: 117 min.); however, patients who underwent this approach presented greater blood loss, longer incision length, and longer hospital stay.11

Surgical duration is related to the experience of the surgeon and the degree of impairment of the affected tissue. Scientific evidence indicate that the laparoscopic procedure lasts 180–260 min, a finding that is in agreement with the results observed in the present review (Fig. 2).17

Despite the longer operating time when compared with open surgery, laparoscopic surgery presents fewer complications and infections.

Conversion to open surgery (Fig. 3) has the potential to increase the incidence of complications in anastomoses by approximately 29%.18 Among the studies analyzed, Kim et al.12 reported the highest conversion ratio for open surgery. According to Shawki et al., any additional incision performed earlier than planned can be considered conversion to open surgery, which could influence the surgical results.19

The survival time reached rates of 73% in five years,15 while cancer recurrence was not reported in the studies analyzed. The data presented are in agreement with those of a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Sample/age/BMI</th>
<th>Surgical time (min)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Length of hospital stay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adamina et al., 2012</td>
<td>52/75 years/27.3 kg/m²</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5 (0.9%)</td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takemasa et al., 2013</td>
<td>150/65.6 years/22.4 kg/m²</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>25 (16.7)</td>
<td>8.7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al., 2014</td>
<td>128/66 years/23.5 kg/m²</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>12 (9%)</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al., 2015</td>
<td>53/56 years/NA</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>2 (0.3%)</td>
<td>11 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2016</td>
<td>116/67 years/23.5 kg/m²</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>30 (25%)</td>
<td>11 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mori et al., 2017</td>
<td>24/72.1 years/NA</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>3 (12.5%)</td>
<td>15 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 2017</td>
<td>172/67 years/NA</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>28 (16%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinoglio et al., 2018</td>
<td>101/71.2 years/25.1 kg/m²</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>28 (27.7%)</td>
<td>7.9 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mori et al., 2018</td>
<td>65/67.6 years/22.8 kg/m²</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>6 (10%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 – Study design.
controlled clinical study in which the survival rate reached 74.2% for laparoscopic surgery, compared to 76.2% for open surgery.\textsuperscript{20,21,22,23,24}

**Conclusion**

Total mesocolon excision surgery is reproducible; comparable results were observed regardless of the center in which the studies were performed. When compared to open surgery, the videolaparoscopic technique presented superior postoperative morbidity and mortality results.

The videolaparoscopy technique has some limitations, including the high cost of the equipment required to perform the technique; it also requires extensive surgeon experience, which is directly reflected in the operation time as well as possible conversion to open surgery.

The present study demonstrated the quality of the videolaparoscopic technique for total mesocolon excision, with comparable or superior results than the open technique, providing excellent results with minimally invasive practices.
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