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Neoadjuvant therapy and surgery in rectal adenocarcinoma: analysis of patients with complete tumor remission
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: the standard treatment for locally advanced extra-peritoneal rectal adenocarcinoma, consists of neoadjuvant treatment with radiotherapy and chemotherapy followed by total mesorectal excision.

Objective: evaluate, retrospectively, the patients submitted to neoadjuvant therapy and surgery that presents with total remission of the lesion in the anatomopathological examination.

Methods: between 2000 and 2010, 212 patients underwent surgery at the Coloproctology Unit at DMAD at FCM–UNICAMP. They were grouped as: rectosigmoidectomy and colorectal anastomosis (n = 54), rectosigmoidectomy with coloanal anastomosis (n = 41), 114 abdominoperineal resection of the rectum (n = 114) and other (n = 3).

Results: thirty (14.2%) patients (mean age 57.6 years; 60% males) showed complete remission of the rectal lesion. 4 (13.3%) had compromised lymph nodes and/or lymphatic invasion

At follow-up (mean 51.9 months), 4 (13.3%) presented with local recurrence (one patient) or distant metastases (two patients had liver metastasis, one had liver and lung, and one had bone metastasis). The mean survival was 86.7%.

Conclusion: patients with a complete tumor response showed an increased survival rate, however, the same patients without evidence of residual tumors could develop local recurrence or distant metastases on a later follow-up.
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**RESUMO**

Introdução: o tratamento padrão do adenocarcinoma de reto extra-peritoneal localmente avançado consiste de neoadjuvância com radio e quimioterapia, seguida de cirurgia com excisão total do mesorreto.

Objetivo: avaliar, retrospectivamente, os pacientes submetidos à neoadjuvância e cirurgia, que apresentaram remissão completa da lesão no reto no exame anatomopatológico.

Métodos: foram avaliados 212 doentes, operados no Serviço de Coloproctologia da DMAD da FCM-Unicamp, entre 2000 e 2010. As cirurgias realizadas foram: retossigmoidectomia e anastomose colorretal (n = 54), retossigmoidectomia com anastomose coloanal (n = 41), amputação abdominoperineal do reto (n = 114) e outras (n = 3).

Resultados: trinta (14,2%) pacientes (média de idade de 57,6 anos; 60% do sexo masculino) apresentaram remissão tumoral completa no reto; destes, 4 (13,3%) tinham acometimento linfonodal e/ou invasão linfática. No seguimento pós-operatório (médio de 51,9 meses), 4 (13,3%) apresentaram recidiva local (um doente) ou metástases à distância (dois doentes com metástases hepáticas, uma hepática e pulmonar, e um outro metástase óssea). A sobrevida média do grupo foi de 86,7%.

Conclusão: os pacientes com resposta tumoral completa no reto apresentaram elevada taxa de sobrevida, mas mesmo doentes sem evidência de tumor residual, podem apresentar recidiva local ou metástases à distância no seguimento tardio.

---

**Introduction**

Colorectal cancer is the third most common malignant neoplasia worldwide and the third main cause of cancer mortality in the United States, with rectal carcinoma comprising approximately 30% of these cases. While the occurrence of colon cancer is similar between genders, rectal cancer is 20% to 50% more frequent in men, affecting especially the age group between 50 and 70 years. The mean survival, in five years, is estimated at 40% to 50%.

Traditionally, the multimodal therapy is recommended in the treatment of rectal cancer, including radiotherapy associated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy and subsequent surgical approach with total mesorectal excision. The main objective is the improvement in survival and local control of the disease, in addition to maintenance of urinary and sexual function, and preservation of the anal sphincter, thereby avoiding the definitive colostomy.

The use of neoadjuvant therapy has proven to be effective in reducing tumor size, facilitating surgical resection and allowing sphincter preservation. Some studies also show fewer affected lymph nodes and absence of residual neoplastic disease. In these cases, the neoadjuvant treatment was enough to lead to a complete pathological response, or lack of tumor cells in the surgical specimen.

With the improvement of radiotherapy techniques and the development of new chemotherapeutic agents, there has been progressive improvement in the results of neoadjuvant therapy with complete tumor response rates ranging from 20% to 35%. The favorable results of preoperative therapy have raised questions about the need for a surgical approach. Thus, some authors have posited the validity of the non-surgical treatment in cases of complete response to neoadjuvant therapy.

**Patients and methods**

A retrospective analysis of a consecutive series of 212 patients with a diagnosis of extra-peritoneal rectal adenocarcinoma, submitted to neoadjuvant therapy followed by surgical resection with curative intention. Procedures were carried out at the Coloproctology Service of the Discipline of Digestive System Diseases (DMAD) of Universidade Estadual de Campinas (Unicamp) between 2000 and 2010.

The variables analyzed were: age, gender, ethnicity, tumor differentiation, TNM staging and type of surgery performed. Complete tumor regression was considered in the absence of viable neoplastic cells in the surgical specimen from the rectum. The neoadjuvant radiotherapy consisted of a dose of 4.500-5040 cGy and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) for chemotherapy.

The following data was obtained from the group of patients with complete tumor response: time of symptom evolution until surgery, distance between the tumor and the anal verge, preoperative colonoscopy, staging tests (chest radiography/tomography computed, total abdominal ultrasound/tomographic computed, carcinoembryonic antigen [CEA] levels), time between neoadjuvant therapy and surgery, type of surgery, intraoperative findings, and anatomopathological analysis of the surgical specimen and follow-up, including survival and recurrence.
Results

From 212 patients, 124 (58.5%) were males, 179 (88.4%) were Caucasian and the mean age was 59.9 years, ranging from 29 to 88 years. The surgeries performed were: 54 (25.5%) rectosigmoidectomies with colorectal anastomosis, 41 (19.3%) rectosigmoidectomies with coloanal anastomosis, 114 (53.8%) abdominoperineal resection of the rectum and three (1.4%), other surgeries.

The anatomicopathological study showed 30 (14.2%) patients with no residual tumor in the rectum (Fig. 1). Of the remaining 182 (85.8%), 5 (2.4%) were Tis tumors, 9 (4.2%) T1; 62 (29.2%) T2; 81 (38.2%) T3 and 25 (11.8%) were T4. (Eighteen 9.9%) were well-differentiated tumors, 152 (83.5%) moderately differentiated, and 12 (6.6%), poorly differentiated.

In the study group (patients with complete remission, the surgeries performed were: rectosigmoidectomy with colorectal anastomosis in 6 (20%), rectosigmoidectomy with coloanal anastomosis in 9 (30%) and abdominoperineal resection of the rectum in 15 (50%). When performing the intraoperative assessment, there were lesions suggestive of liver metastases in 3 (10%) and invasion of adjacent organs in other 2 patients (6.7%). The data of the 30 patients who had complete tumor response are shown in Table 1.

Two patients underwent surgery for intestinal obstruction with defunctioning sigmoidostomy, before the completion of neoadjuvant therapy. At the colonoscopy, 6 (20.0%) patients had benign synchronous lesions and in 2 (6.7%) it was not possible to evaluate the proximal colon due to tumor stenosis. In the preoperative examinations, no patient had lung metastases and 1 (3.3%) patient had liver metastasis. The level of CEA was higher than 5 μg / L in 10 (33.3%) patients.

The interval between neoadjuvant therapy and surgery was less than four weeks in 8 (26.7%) patients, four to eight weeks in 12 (40%) and more than eight weeks in 7 (23.3%) patients; this information was undetermined for 3 (10%) patients.

The anatomicopathological analysis of the surgical specimen showed that 2 (6.7%) patients had lymphatic invasion, and 1 (3.4%) of them also had 5 affected lymph nodes. Other 2 patients also had lymph node involvement, respectively, with 1 and 10 affected lymph nodes. No patient had perineural invasion.

The mean postoperative follow-up was 51.9 months; 4 (13.3%) patients showed distant metastases (two in the liver, one in the lung and liver and one had bone metastasis) and one of these also developed pelvic recurrence and was reoperated 59 months after the first intervention. Of the patients with liver metastases, one had synchronous liver metastasis in the preoperative period of the rectal surgery and the second one had lymph node involvement and lymphatic invasion revealed in the anatomicopathological assessment.

Twenty-six (86.6%) patients remain alive. The mean survival of the group with complete remission was 86.7%, with 3 (10%) patients lost at follow-up. The survival curve is shown in Fig. 2.

Table 1 - General and specific data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>n = 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M / F)</td>
<td>18 / 12 (60% / 40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>57.6 (33 – 79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (C / NC)</td>
<td>27 / 3 (90% / 10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of evolution (months)</td>
<td>16.1 (2 – 41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of lesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5 cm from the AV</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5 cm from the AV</td>
<td>14 (46.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastases (pre-op.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver</td>
<td>1 (3.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lung</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonoscopy (pre-op.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>synchronic lesions</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stenosing tumor</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA (pre-op)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5 μg/L</td>
<td>20 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5 μg/L</td>
<td>10 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval between neoadjuvant therapy and surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 4 weeks</td>
<td>8 (26.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 8 weeks</td>
<td>12 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 8 weeks</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no information</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative finding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver metastasis</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasion of adjacent organs</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AV, anal verge; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.
The results indicating the absence of tumor lesion in the surgical specimen have raised questions about the need for the surgical treatment, considering the current diagnostic methods. However, to date there is no test available capable to confirm with certainty the tumor disappearance from the rectum and/or lymph nodes.

Current diagnostic tests for evaluation include imaging methods such as endorectal ultrasound and magnetic nuclear resonance. As for the detection of lymph node involvement, endorectal ultrasonography has a sensitivity and specificity of 55% and 78%, respectively.\(^7\) Rectal examination by colonoscopy or digital rectal examination (DRE) are not sufficient to guarantee the absence of neoplasia, as well as the magnetic resonance to demonstrate the disappearance of tumor cells both in the primary tumor and lymph nodes. Furthermore, the distinction between residual tumor, intramural fibrosis or actinic ulcers can be difficult.\(^3,17\)

Perez et al., evaluating the effectiveness of Positron Emission Tomography (PET)/CT, assessed 99 patients with rectal cancer after 12 weeks of neoadjuvant treatment using the imaging method and obtained 5 false negative and 10 false positive results. Aiming to detect residual tumor, the sensitivity was 93% and the specificity was 53%. Clinical evaluation resulted in accuracy of 91% and the information disclosed by the PET-CT improved the accuracy up to 96%.\(^20\)

Studies have shown that patients with apparent total remission submitted to neoadjuvant therapy and local resection (mostly because of comorbidities or patient refusal) have an overall satisfactory and disease-free survival, with no difference compared to those who underwent radical surgery.\(^3,21,22\)

Pelvic recurrence is a major concern in the treatment of rectal cancer and could be associated with the level of tumor penetration in the bowel wall, presence of affected lymph nodes, resection margins and surgical techniques. The target of a large number of studies, local recurrence rate has shown to be extremely variable, from 3% to 30%.\(^3\) In the present study, only one (3.4%) patient had local recurrence, but this sample involves only patients who had complete remission of rectal lesions. Habr-Gama et al., following 67 patients with complete remission and non-operated, found recurrence in 15 (21%) patients, with 8 rectal, and 7 distant metastases and no pelvic recurrence.\(^8\)

Campos-Lobatto et al. assessed 58 patients with complete remission, found no local recurrence and this group also had fewer distant metastases. Overall survival was also statistically significantly better than in the group with residual disease.\(^23\)

Three meta-analyses, with a significant number of patients, showed that patients with complete tumor remission after neoadjuvant therapy had high survival rates and low recurrence of cancer.\(^24-26\) Of the 3,105 patients in the study, Maas et al. found that 484 had complete remission, ranging between 8% and 24% in the different studies. They observed 61 recurrences and this group had a 5-year survival rate of 83.3%, compared with 65.6% among those with residual tumor.\(^24\) Of the 3,363 patients (1263 with complete remission and 2100 with residual tumor) of the study, Martin et al. observed 0.7% of local recurrence, 8.7% of distant metastases, 90.2% of overall survival and disease-free survival of 87% in patients with complete remission, with the difference being statistically
significant when compared to the group that showed residual tumor after the neoadjuvant therapy.\textsuperscript{25}

Zorcolo et al. observed complete tumor response in 300 (15.6\%) patients. They found that patients with complete remission after neoadjuvant therapy had better local and distance control, as well as better overall and disease-free survival.\textsuperscript{26}

Smith et al. found that most patients with complete pathological response did not have complete clinical improvement. T1/T2 tumors showed small mucosal abnormalities and had low risk of lymph node involvement, potentially facilitating local resection. The risk of lymph node metastasis was associated significantly with poorer tumor differentiation and presence of lymphovascular invasion.\textsuperscript{27} Tranchart et al. also found in their sample that patients with T3 tumors had more affected lymph nodes than patients with T1-T2 tumors, 46\% and 7\% respectively.\textsuperscript{28} The rate of lymph node involvement in patients with complete tumor response ranged from 0\% to 10\%,\textsuperscript{29,30} whereas in our study, 13.3\% of patients had lymph node metastases and one of them developed pelvic lymph node recurrence.

Habr-Gama and collaborators have carried out studies to find evidence for not performing surgery in these patients. It has been documented that a proportion of these patients might develop metastases during treatment after neoadjuvant therapy or even after complete tumor response, representing the presence of non-detectable tumor cells.\textsuperscript{3,17} Therefore, even patients with complete tumor remission need follow-up with periodic clinical and imaging method assessment, which together will provide confidence to the professional.

In conclusion, the neoadjuvant therapy resulted in a significant number of patients with no residual primary tumor. Although the percentage of patients with complete tumor regression was a little lower than some values reported in the literature, this group had a high survival rate. However, in the late follow-up, even patients with complete remission of rectal cancer with neoadjuvant therapy may show distant disease recurrence or even local recurrence.
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