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Laparoscopic cytoreductive surgery for metastatic colon cancer – how to improve treatment strategy
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A B S T R A C T

Background: Colon cancer (CC) one of the most common oncological disease in World. Up to 30% patients in Russia have metastatic CC at first visiting to oncologist. The treatment results still controversial. Nowadays, minimally invasive laparoscopic precision technique allowed extending the indication for cytoreductive surgery even in patients with severe comorbidities.

Materials and methods: 89 patients with colon cancer (T1-4a) and curable synchronous distant metastases include in study. All patients underwent cytoreductive surgery with primary tumor resection. In study group (44) we performed laparoscopic surgery, in main group (45) – open surgery procedure. The groups were similar by sex, age, tumor localization and histological structure, comorbidities.

Results: R0 resection performed 27% patients. The average number of lymph node removal was similar 13 and 12 respectively. Average operation time was significantly longer in study group 210 vs 120 min. In study group blood loss was lower: 300 mL vs 1200 mL. Postoperative patient recovery shorter after laparoscopic surgery (p < 0.05): time to activation 2.2 vs 3.9 days; time to first peristalsis – 1.8 vs 4.5 days; first bowel movement – 3.4 vs 4.8 days; first food taken – 2.9 vs 3.9 days. Shorter time of analgesics intake – 2.3 vs 4.4 days, p < 0.05. Hospital stay shorter: 9.3 vs 13.4 days, p = 0.05. Time to start chemotherapy reduced since 27.5 to 14.7 days, p < 0.05. Postoperative complications lower in study group: 6.8 vs 17.8%, p = 0.05. Kaplan–Meier 2-year overall survival were similar: 69.5% vs 61.6%, p = 0.96.

Conclusion: Laparoscopic cytoreductive surgery for metastatic CC is safe, minimized surgical trauma and speed up patient recovery.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. on behalf of Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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Cirurgia laparoscópica citorreductora para câncer de cólon metastático – como melhorar a estratégia de tratamento

RESUMO

Fundamento: Câncer de cólon (CC) é uma das doenças oncológicas mais comuns no mundo. Até 30% dos pacientes na Rússia têm CC metastático na primeira visita ao oncologista. Os resultados do tratamento ainda são controversos. Atualmente, a técnica de precisão laparoscópica minimamente invasiva permitiu estender a indicação para a cirurgia citorreductora mesmo em pacientes com comorbidades graves.

Materiais e métodos: 89 pacientes com câncer de cólon (T1-4a) e metástases distantes síncronas curáveis foram incluídos no estudo. Todos os pacientes foram submetidos à cirurgia citorreductora com ressecção do tumor primário. No grupo de estudo (44) realizamos cirurgia laparoscópica, no grupo principal (45), a cirurgia aberta. Os grupos eram semelhantes em relação ao sexo, idade, localização e estrutura histológica do tumor, e comorbidades.

Resultados: A ressecção R0 foi realizada em 27% dos pacientes. O número médio de remoção de linfonodos foi similar, 13 e 12, respectivamente. O tempo médio de cirurgia foi significativamente mais longo no grupo de estudo, 210 versus 120 min. A perda de sangue foi menor no grupo de estudo: 300 mL versus 1200 mL. A recuperação pós-operatória foi mais curta após a cirurgia laparoscópica (p < 0,05): tempo de ativação - 2,2 vs. 3,9 dias; tempo até o primeiro peristaltismo - 1,8 vs. 4,5 dias; primeiro movimento intestinal - 3,4 vs. 4,8 dias; primeiro alimento consumido - 2,9 vs. 3,9 dias. Menor tempo de ingestão de analgésicos - 2,3 versus 4,4 dias, p < 0,05; menor tempo de hospitalização: 9,3 vs. 13,4 dias, p = 0,05. O tempo para iniciar a quimioterapia foi reduzido de 27,5 para 14,7 dias, p < 0,05. Complicações pós-operatórias menores no grupo de estudo: 6,8 vs. 17,8%, p = 0,05. A sobrevida global de Kaplan–Meier aos 2 anos foi semelhante: 69,5% vs. 61,6%, p = 0,96.

Conclusão: A cirurgia citorreductora laparoscópica para CC metastático é segura, minimiza o trauma cirúrgico e acelera a recuperação do paciente.

© 2017 Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. em nome de Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Background

Colon cancer (CC) one of the most common oncological disease in the World. More than 30,000 new cases were registered and 12,000 have died annually in Russia, up to 30% patients have metastatic CC at first visit to oncologist.¹

In Russia treatment of metastatic CC is in focus of interests because there is a huge part of patients with an advanced disease. New treatment strategy and medical technology allowed expanding indications for surgery in patients with metastatic CC even with sever comorbidities. Recent studies showed the improvement of treatment results after cytoreductive surgery even in patients with multiple liver and lung metastases.²–⁵

Nowadays, introduction of innovation minimally invasive surgery, advantages in molecular technology and new scheme of chemotherapy allowed extending the indication for cytoreductive surgery for metastatic CC because it could help to optimize treatment strategy and achieve the improvement of quality of life and long-term results. The laparoscopic precision technique in cytoreductive surgery could achieve the minimal level of morbidity and mortality, shorter period of rehabilitation and time to adjuvant chemotherapy after surgery.⁶,⁷

Recently, the results of multiple multicenter randomized controlled trials (COST, Barcelona trial, CLASSIC, COLOR, COLOR-2), confirming that there is no differences in short-term and long-term results between the laparoscopic and open access surgery for patients with CC.⁸–¹⁷

In modern literature there is only single articles reflecting laparoscopic cytoreductive surgery for metastatic CC.

Materials and methods

We analysis 89 patients treatment results with CC (T1-4a,Nany, M1a-b). Inclusion criteria in study: colon carcinoma with curable synchronous distant metastases (except the patients with total peritoneal carcinomatosis); metastases no more than 2 organs, ECOG < 2. Exclusion criteria: ECOG > 2, brain and bone metastases, retroperitoneal lymph nodes invasion, metastases more than 2 organs, tumor or metastases destruction, acute bowel obstruction, multiple synchronous or metachronous metastases. All patients underwent simultaneous or staged cytoreductive surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy. The patients were divided into 2 groups: study group (44 patients) – underwent laparoscopic cytoreductive surgery; main group – cytoreductive surgery by open access (45 patients). The groups were similar by sex, age, tumor spread and localization and histological structure, comorbidities.

Tumor localization and spread of metastases one of the most important factor determining for surgery plane (Fig. 1).
All patients had histologically verified colon adenocarcinoma: high grade differentiated adenocarcinoma – 10.1% patients, moderate – 76.4%, low-grade – 6.7% and 6.7% patients had mucous adenocarcinoma. Main part of the patients in our study had moderate – differentiated carcinoma with T3 invasion.

The lymph node metastases were detected in 75% patients study group, and 82.2% – in main group.

The distribution of affected organs metastases and localization is presented in Table 1.

The main part of patients in both group had multiple bilateral liver metastases and multiple bilateral lung metastases (Figs. 2 and 3).

Different symptoms of the primary tumor in the colon were observed more than in 50% of patients (Table 2).

Almost 91% patients in study group and 82% patients in main group had severe comorbidities (Table 3).

Results

All patients (89) underwent cytoreductive surgery with primary tumor or primary tumor and distant metastases resection (Table 4).
Table 2 – Primary tumor complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of complications</th>
<th>Study group, N (%)</th>
<th>Main group, N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowel obstruction subclinical</td>
<td>14 (31.8)</td>
<td>15 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood in stool</td>
<td>7 (15.9)</td>
<td>7 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicanaemic syndrome</td>
<td>3 (6.8)</td>
<td>5 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24 (54.6)</td>
<td>27 (60.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – Comorbidities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>Study group, N</th>
<th>Main group, N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension of the I st</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrhythmia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI 25–39.9)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal tract benign disease</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – Characteristic of colon surgery procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of surgery</th>
<th>Study group, N (%)</th>
<th>Main group, N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right hemicolectomy</td>
<td>9 (20.5)</td>
<td>13 (28.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hemicolectomy</td>
<td>4 (9.1)</td>
<td>4 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigmoid resection</td>
<td>24 (54.6)</td>
<td>18 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal resection</td>
<td>3 (6.8)</td>
<td>2 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartman procedure</td>
<td>4 (9.1)</td>
<td>8 (17.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44 (100)</td>
<td>45 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hartmann’s procedure underwent 4 patients in study group and 8 patients in main group because had subclinical sights of bowel obstruction and colon wall hypertrophy. Colon anastomosis formed in 90.9% cases in study group and 82.2% – in main group.

Simultaneous R0 resection performed in cases when the general condition (ECOG 0–2; APACHE II – 0–14 score) of patient, number and localization of metastases allowed to do it with low risk of morbidity and mortality (Table 5).

R-0 resection performed 29.6% of patients in study group and 24.4% – in main group (Fig. 4).

Table 5 – Characteristics of surgery procedure for metastases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgery for metastases</th>
<th>Study group, N (%)</th>
<th>Main group, N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simultaneous R-0 resection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver resection</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omentum resection</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staged R-0 resection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung resection</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>2 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver resection:</td>
<td>10 (22.7)</td>
<td>7 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hemihepatectomy</td>
<td>4 (9.1)</td>
<td>2 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hemihepatectomy</td>
<td>2 (4.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical liver resection</td>
<td>4 (9.1%)</td>
<td>4 (8.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total R-0 resection</td>
<td>13 (29.6%)</td>
<td>11 (24.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 – Atypical liver resection.

The average number of lymph node removal was similar in both groups (13 and 12 respectively, p < 0.05 (Fig. 5).

The average time duration of the operation in study group was 210 min, in main group – 120 min. Surgery time depends on surgeons learning curve, and have tended to decline after 30 laparoscopic procedures.

In obese patients the time of surgery significantly longer especially in laparoscopic access group because it so difficult to do the navigation and visualization of anatomical structures: obesity I degree – 234.4 min; II degree of obesity – 295 min. In control group: I degree obesity – 163.6 min; obesity II degree – 226.7 min.

We analyzed intraoperative blood loss as a factor of surgical trauma. The average blood loss in study group was 300 mL, in control group – 1200 mL, p < 0.05. The data shows that, the laparoscopic procedure could help to reduced operative blood loss significantly and, consequently, reduced the number of transfusion complications and speed up patients rehabilitation.

Surgical complications were similar in both group: 5% in laparoscopic access group and 4% – in open surgery group p > 0.60. The main surgical complication was hemorrhage.

We studied several indicators during early postoperative period: time to peristalsis recovery first stool, first food taken, time to patient activation, painkillers intake, postoperative complications, hospital stay, and time to start adjuvant

Fig. 5 – D-3 lymph node dissection with left colic artery preservation.
chemotherapy. All of this parameters had improved in study group: time to peristalsis restoration was shorter in 2.5 times (p < 0.05), and admitted reduction time of first stool in 1.4 times (p = 0.05) (Table 6).

The quality of life in early postoperative period is an important parameter, which determined by the first food taken, bowel movement restoration, and time to painkillers intake. The results also confirm the improvement quality of life in early postoperative period in patients undergoing laparoscopic procedures (Table 7).

Precision laparoscopic technique allows significantly speed up the postoperative patient rehabilitation in comparison with open surgery.

Postoperative complications in main group have noted in 8 (17.8%) patients, 3 patients of them was re-operated. In study group complications were noted in 3 patients (6.8%), 2 of them – re-operated. The results showed that the laparoscopic access could minimize postoperative complications.

Hospital stay after laparoscopic surgery were shorter: 9 days in study group versus 13 days in main group (p < 0.05).

The laparoscopic precision technique could speed up patients rehabilitation up to 1.5 times.

Adjuvant chemotherapy plays a key role in treatment strategy for patients with metastatic CC. Time to start chemotherapy after surgery – one of the most important indicator, which often depends on postoperative rehabilitation. The surgical procedures and postoperative complications – main factors that prolonged time to start adjuvant chemotherapy. Well known that the early start of chemotherapy after cytoreductive surgery is beneficial to the long-term outcomes. Short period of rehabilitation after laparoscopic surgery, improving the quality of life, lower percentages of postoperative complications allowed to reduce time to start the adjuvant chemotherapy: 14.7 days – study group; 27.5 – main group (p < 0.05).

The results of 2-year overall survival after cytoreductive surgery by laparoscopic access and open surgery are comparable (69.5% and 61.6%, respectively, p = 0.96 (Fig. 6).

During 2 years follow up we did not registered any cases of local recurrence.

Conclusion

The results of our study showed that the laparoscopic cytoreductive surgery for patients with metastatic CC is safe, could minimize surgical trauma and speed up postoperative recovery even in patients with multiple metastases and severe comorbidity. The laparoscopic access indicated for patients with metastatic colon cancer stage T1–4a N<sub>0</sub> M<sub>1-a-b</sub>. Contraindications for laparoscopic surgery are locally advanced huge tumors which invades into the adjacent organs or structures (T<sub>4a</sub>) and advanced peritoneal carcinomatosis or adhesions. The introduction of minimally invasive laparoscopic technology allows extending the indications for cytoreductive surgery even in patients with metastatic CC and severe comorbidities.
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