Correlation of clinical data and the Alvarado’s Score as predictors of acute appendicitis
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To correlate the patient’s clinical data and the Alvarado’s Score as predictors of acute appendicitis.

Methods: This is an observational, descriptive and prospective study performed at a public emergency and emergency hospital in the city of Fortaleza, Ceará, between July and December 2016, with 34 patients undergoing open appendectomy with ages between 18 and 70 years. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS program.

Results: The following statistical correlations were performed: number of days with abdominal pain until the operative event and degree of inflammation according to a macroscopic analysis of the appendix, Alvarado’s Score and number of days with abdominal pain until the operative event, Alvarado’s Score and degree of inflammation according to a macroscopic analysis of the appendix, number of days with abdominal pain until the operative event, and number of days of hospitalization in the postoperative period, degree of inflammation according to a macroscopic analysis of the appendix, number of days of hospitalization in the postoperative period and Alvarado’s Score, and number of days of hospitalization in the postoperative period; the first five correlations were statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: The use of this Alvarado’s Score in health services emerges as a tool for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.
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Introduction

Despite being the most prevalent cause of acute surgical abdomen, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis is hampered by the absence of typical symptoms and of suggestive laboratory data in about 20–33% of the cases. Detection failures can lead to both a negative appendectomy as to complications of the clinical picture. In this sense, scoring tests for the diagnosis, such as the Alvarado’s Score, can contribute to the early detection of cases of acute appendicitis, reducing individual damage as well as social and material costs.

With the association of clinical data to a complete blood count, the Alvarado’s Score can be determined (Table 1). With up to 3 points, less than 5% of patients will have acute appendicitis and, in such cases, they can be discharged, with guidelines for their return in case of progression, or for serial clinical exams. With an Alvarado’s score of 4–6, a sensitivity of 35% is observed for acute appendicitis; the patient’s hospitalization is then indicated for a more detailed investigation, especially in cases of children, the elderly and women of childbearing age. With 7 or more points, sensitivity rises to 78% in women and to 94% in men, indicating an urgent surgical approach. In the latter group, a little more than 10% of the laparotomies or videolaparoscopies will reveal no alterations in the vermiform appendix.

In the case of an early diagnosis, the surgery is usually of simple execution, without significant repercussions in the postoperative period. In cases of late diagnosis, there is usually a development until the occurrence of appendicular perforation and/or necrosis, with a higher prevalence of complications, extensive surgeries, prolonged admissions, and a more difficult recovery in the postoperative period, with a higher social cost and also with higher costs for the health system.

In view of this, our study sought to correlate the patient’s clinical data and the results of the Alvarado’s Score as predictors of acute appendicitis, which can be used in emergency care for the early detection of this problem.

Method

Study design and data collection

This is a prospective, observational, and descriptive study, performed at a secondary emergency and emergency hospital in the city of Fortaleza, Ceará. During the period from July to December 2016, 34 patients aged 18–70 years and submitted to open appendectomy were evaluated. According to resolution 510/2016, sociodemographic data, the Alvarado’s Score (Table 1), the number of days with abdominal pain until the surgery, the degree of inflammation according to the macroscopic analysis of the appendix (Table 2), and the number of days of hospitalization in the postoperative period were collected. It was assumed that there is a correlation between the Alvarado’s Score and the macroscopic analysis of the appendix (Table 3).

Variables studied

As a primary variable, the Alvarado’s Score was used. The secondary variables were macroscopic analysis of the appendix, number of days of abdominal pain, and days of hospitalization in the postoperative period.
Table 1 – Statistical correlations between days of abdominal pain, Alvarado’s Score, macroscopic degree of the appendix, and days of hospitalization in the postoperative period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters evaluated</th>
<th>p-values</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Number of days with abdominal pain until the operative event vs. Degree of inflammation according to a macroscopic analysis of the appendix</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Alvarado’s Score vs. Number of days with abdominal pain until the operative event</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Alvarado’s Score vs. Degree of inflammation according to a macroscopic analysis of the appendix</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Number of days with abdominal pain until the operative event vs. Number of days of hospitalization in the postoperative period</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Degree of inflammation according to a macroscopic analysis of the appendix vs. Number of days of hospitalization in the postoperative period</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Alvarado’s Score vs. Number of days of hospitalization in the postoperative period</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p-values < 0.05.

Table 2 – Parameters and Alvarado’s scores.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Alvarado’s score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Migratory pain for RIF</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense in RLQ</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain from decompression in RIF</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytosis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left shift</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RIF, right ilioc fossa; RLQ, right lower quadrant.

Table 3 – Macroscopic analysis of the appendix.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflamed</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gangrenous, suppurated or necrotic</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforated, with localized free liquid or a local abscess</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforated with diffuse peritonitis</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS v.22 program from IBM, with the use of descriptive statistics and the Spearman coefficient (\( \rho \)), as follows: strong (\( \rho > 0.7 \)), moderate (\( 0.4 \leq \rho < 0.7 \)) and weak (\( \rho < 0.4 \)) correlation. We considered p < 0.05 as significant.

Ethical aspects

The project was approved by the ethics committee of the institution and received a favorable opinion under number 0345.2016.1.

Results

Of the 34 patients in the study, 73.5% were males, mean age = 30.8 ± 11.83 years. The mean of the Alvarado’s Score was 9.29 ± 0.906, with a distribution according to Fig. 1. In relation to the macroscopic analysis of the appendix, a mean = 3.15 ± 0.989 was obtained; finally, for the number of days of hospitalization in the postoperative period, a mean of 3 ± 1.015 days was found.

Discussion

In view of the similarity of the symptoms of acute appendicitis with other conditions that are seen in medical emergencies, it is not uncommon for misconceptions and delays in the diagnosis of the condition, especially in its initial periods, which negatively affects the related morbidity and mortality.15,15–17,20 Based on the findings and experience of the surgeon, the decision to be taken should be decided as quickly as possible, and the Alvarado’s Score is a good tool to guide the best option between hospital discharge, deepening of the diagnostic investigation or surgical approach. This situation
carries with it an important responsibility since both a wrong approach in negative cases and a delayed diagnosis in positive cases can have deleterious effects on the patient, besides generating avoidable costs for the health services.\textsuperscript{14,18–20}

It is known that the greater the number of days of evolution of acute appendicitis, the greater the inflammatory process.\textsuperscript{13,19,21} In the present study, in those subjects with more days of abdominal pain, higher macroscopic degrees of inflammation in the vermiform appendix were observed, which was supported by a robust and statistically significant correlation.

Thus, our findings confirm the hypothesis tested in this study, that is, the existence of a correlation between the Alvarado’s Score and the macroscopic findings of the appendix. These findings indicate the use of the Alvarado’s Score as a viable possibility to be used by clinicians and emergency physicians for the early detection of cases of acute appendicitis. There is also the possibility of carrying out a study to evaluate the use of the Alvarado’s Score in health services, in order to evaluate its cost-effectiveness ratio.

Conclusion

The faster the therapeutic definition of acute appendicitis, the lower the chance of occurrence of necrosis or abscess formation in the appendix, and the shorter the length of hospital stay in the post-operative period. In this way, we can consider the Alvarado’s Score as an accessible and effective tool for optimizing the approach to patients with a suggestive picture of appendicitis. In addition, the Alvarado’s Score may also suggest the degree of inflammation of the appendix, but it has a weak correlation in relation to the days of hospitalization in the post-operative period.
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