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Current management of fistulizing perianal Crohn’s disease based on serum anti-tumor necrosis factor levels and magnetic resonance imaging monitoring
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Abstract

Perianal fistulizing Crohn’s disease (PFCD) is one of the most complex challenges in the colorectal surgery nowadays, because, even with combined clinical and surgical treatment, the rate of healing of the fistulas is approximately 50%. In this context, the monitoring of serum levels of anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor (anti-TNF) drugs appears as a crucial tool for the optimization of treatment, since there is a probable correlation between higher serum levels of infliximab and adalimumab with better outcomes of the disease, higher healing rates and lower recurrence rates. This review describes evidence on the use of serum anti-TNF levels and their relationship to clinical and radiological efficacy.
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Manejo atual da doença de Crohn perianal fistulizante com base nos níveis séricos de fator de necrose antitumoral e no monitoramento por ressonância magnética

Resumen

La Doença de Crohn Perianal Fistulizante (DCPF) configura-se como um dos desafios mais complexos da cirurgia colorectal atualmente, isso porque, mesmo com tratamento clínico e cirúrgico combinados, a taxa de cicatrização das fistulas é de aproximadamente 50%. Nesse contexto, a monitorização de níveis séricos de drogas anti-Fator de Necrose Tumoral (anti-TNF) surge como ferramenta crucial para a otimização do tratamento, uma vez há provável correlação entre maiores níveis séricos de infliximabe e adalimumabe com melhores desfechos da doença, maiores taxas de cicatrização e menores índices de recorrência.
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Introduction

Crohn’s Disease (CD) is a chronic inflammatory condition of unknown etiology, which can affect any segment of the gastrointestinal tract. Due to the persistence of the inflammatory process, the disease can present itself through strictures, fistulas and perforations. Perianal manifestations are present in about 20% to 35% of patients with changes in the small intestine and large intestine. Perianal Fistulizing Crohn’s Disease (PFCD) can affect up to 1/3 of overall CD patients and more than 90% of patients with rectal involvement. Whenever present, PFCD is configured as a disease modifying element, generally linked to a worse prognosis. This is because the rates of healing of the fistula are low and recurrence is frequent, which requires greater need for immunosuppression, hospitalizations and surgeries. Thus, there is a great physical and psychological impact for the patients, interfering mainly in their self-image and in their sexual life.

CD related fistulas have two main components: an inflammatory (which is treated with medication) and a mechanical (treated with surgery). Among the available drugs (corticosteroids, immunosuppressants and antibiotics), it is the anti-TNF agents that achieved the best results in the treatment of PFCD. This was initially demonstrated by Present et al., who proved the efficacy of Infliximab (IFX) in closing perianal and abdominal fistulas. Fu et al., in a meta-analysis on the treatment of PFCD with Adalimumab (ADA), demonstrated complete closure of anal fistulas in 36% and partial response in 31% of patients. Combination therapy with the use of biologics and surgical manipulation of fistula path, with sepsis eradication and seton placement, currently represents the best treatment strategy for PFCD.

More recently, evidence has shown that higher serum levels of anti-TNF agents may be associated with better results in CD, with higher rates of clinical remission and mucosal healing. Thus, it is speculated that in patients with PFCD there may be a benefit also in the treatment and decision making, according to the monitoring of serum anti-TNF levels, associated with the radiological control of fistulas by magnetic resonance imaging.

Therapeutic drug monitoring levels in PFCD

In recent years, there has been growing interest between the serum concentration of drug levels and their relationship to clinical and radiological efficacy. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring (TDM) is performed based on your serum level and/or the concentration of the anti-drug antibody at a given time and can be adjusted for correct treatment. The determination of the anti-drug antibody is carried out in the context of an inadequate response to biological therapy. TDM can be superior compared to the empirical exchange of therapy, as it can be adjusted and decrease the time of an ineffective therapy, improving the treatment and its repercussions. As has already been ratified, a number of studies have demonstrated the benefits of using TDM, however there are still controversies about its real effectiveness. A prospective randomized study performed on patients with CD and ulcerative colitis, observed that after dose optimization of IFX, continued concentration-based dosing was not superior to clinically based dosing for achieving remission after 1 year, but was associated with fewer flares during the course of treatment. In another prospective randomized study performed on patients with active luminal CD, D’Haens et al. concluded that increasing the dose of IFX based on the combination of symptoms, biomarkers and serum drug concentrations does not lead to corticosteroid-free clinical remission in a greater proportion of patients than increasing the dose based on symptoms alone.

Especially in PFCD, there are data suggesting a correlation between higher serum levels of anti-TNF agents and healing of the fistula. This has been demonstrated in some cohort studies with IFX and ADA, in induction and maintenance, in adults and children with CD. Highlighted, Yarur et al., in a retrospective cross-sectional study with the largest number of patients (117), where IFX levels were analyzed for at least 24 weeks and it was found that patients with healed fistulas had higher serum levels of IFX compared to those who were not cured (15.8 vs. 4/4 mg/L, p < 0.00001) and that a higher serum level of IFX at 10 mg/L would be necessary for complete healing of the fistulas. The authors also noted that patients who tested positive for anti-TNF antibodies had a lower cure rate. Within the context of importance, it stands out the study of Strik et al., who conducted the first study with ADA in patients with PFCD. The healing of the fistulas was determined by clinical examination (absence of drainage with digital expression) and by magnetic resonance imaging (presence of fibrosis determines the closure and inactivity of the disease). Again, higher serum levels of anti-TNFs were associated with greater closure of the fistula. This study also shows the importance of magnetic resonance imaging in obtaining more objective outcomes.

In general, patients with healed fistulas had higher serum levels of IFX and ADA compared to those with active perianal disease. This leads to speculation that the fistulizing phenotype in CD may need more active drug in circulation to control the disease, compared to the luminal phenotype. The studies published to date are associated with some limitations, such as different outcome measures, periods of analysis and lack of objective markers for fistula healing.
Magnetic resonance monitoring

The subjectivity of the various definitions of clinical response demonstrates the need for more objective parameters in studies like these. The remission of the disease can be evaluated both clinically and by MRI, and this is a more reliable method, since fistulas classified as healing through clinical evaluation can be identified as active on MRI. The analysis of the efficacy of treatment is based on “deep remission”, which characterizes the absence of the fistula path on MRI and clinical remission.22

MRI is more effective due to its high specificity and sensitivity in relation to clinical evaluation, and the van Assche score is the most used for the evaluation. The use of gadolinium contrast and T-2 sequences are the gold standard for fistula analysis, where hypersensitivity in this image indicates local inflammatory activity.22,23 Currently, in most studies, pelvic MRI scores are not standardized, and central reading remains a challenge. There are still no well-established universal criteria in pelvic MRI examinations which define closure and healing of fistulas in CD. The modified Van Assche score correlates well with clinical response, but further studies with larger sample of patients are still required for a broader validation of this method.25

Conclusion

Considering anti-TNFs as the best choice for the treatment of PFCD so far, the measurement of serum levels can be an important tool in the management of complex perianal fistulas in CD, in association with different surgical techniques, in order to optimize the treatment of the disease, as well as the use of magnetic resonance images to confirm deep remission. Therefore, prospective studies relating the serum levels and healing rates of PFCD are expected, associated with better standardization in the form of MRI monitoring, in order to assist in the limitations that still exist in the treatment of PFCD.

Considering anti-TNFs as the best choice for the treatment of PFCD, TDM is a promising method which would place TDM as an important tool in the management of complex perianal fistulas in CD, in association with different surgical techniques to optimize the treatment of the disease, as well as the use of magnetic resonance images to confirm deep remission. Therefore, prospective studies relating the serum levels and healing rates of PFCD, associated with better standardization in the form of monitoring by MRI are expected, in order to assist in the limitations that still exist in the treatment of PFCD.
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