



Original Article

Retrospective analysis of patients submitted to surgical treatment of perianal fistula in Santa Marcelina Hospital, São Paulo[☆]



Isaac José Felipe Corrêa Neto^{a,b,*}, Janaína Wercka^a, Diego Palmeira Rangel^a,
Eduardo Augusto Lopes^{a,b}, Hugo Henriques Watté^{a,b}, Rogério Freitas Lino Souza^a,
Alexander Sá Rolim^{a,b}, Laercio Robles^{a,b}

^a Hospital Santa Marcelina, Departamento de Cirurgia Geral, Serviço de Coloproctologia, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^b Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 February 2017

Accepted 27 March 2017

Available online 11 May 2017

Keywords:

Perianal fistula

Demographic data

Relapse

Anal incontinence

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Perianal fistula is a condition commonly found in surgical practice, with an incidence of approximately 1 in 10,000 individuals, with a predisposition for the male gender, occurring mainly in patients between 30 and 50 years and in 80% of the cases originating from infection in the glandular crypts (cryptoglandular).

Objective: To perform a retrospective analysis using electronic medical record data of patients submitted to surgical treatment for perianal fistula in Santa Marcelina Hospital in São Paulo, as well as to verify the incidence of relapse and anal continence disorders, in addition to the complexity and types of fistulas and patient characterization.

Results: Two hundred patients were submitted to surgical treatment of perianal fistula were analyzed. Among men, there was a higher incidence of patients with lower educational level ($p=0.02$), hypertension (0.03), diabetes (0.05), older age ($p=0.001$), whereas among women previous perianal abscess predominated (0.001). There was no statistical difference in anal continence between patients submitted to fistulotomy with or without seton.

Conclusion: We observed a predominance of male patients and a low incidence of recurrence and symptoms of anal continence disorders, in addition to a predominance of complex fistulas.

© 2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

[☆] Study carried out in Hospital Santa Marcelina, Departamento de Cirurgia Geral, Programa de Residência Médica de Coloproctologia, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: isaacneto@hotmail.com (I.J. Neto).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcol.2017.03.008>

2237-9363/© 2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Análise retrospectiva de pacientes submetidos à tratamento cirúrgico de fístula perianal no Hospital Santa Marcelina, São Paulo

R E S U M O

Palavras-chave:

Fístula perianal

Dados demográficos

Recidiva

Incontinência anal

Introdução: Fístula perianal é uma condição comumente encontrada na prática cirúrgica com incidência de cerca 1 em 10000 indivíduos com predisposição para o sexo masculino, ocorrendo fundamentalmente em pacientes entre 30 e 50 anos e em 80% dos casos tem origem em infecção nas criptas glandulares (criptoglandular).

Objetivo: Realizar análise retrospectiva através de dados de prontuário eletrônico de pacientes submetidos a tratamento cirúrgico de fístula perianal no Hospital Santa Marcelina São Paulo, além de verificar a incidência de recidiva e desordens da continência anal, além da complexidade e tipos das fístulas e caracterização dos pacientes.

Resultados: Duzentos pacientes foram submetidos a tratamento cirúrgico de fístula perianal. Entre os homens houve maior incidência de pacientes com menor escolaridade ($p=0,02$), hipertensos (0,03), diabéticos (0,05), maior idade ($p=0,001$) e nas mulheres predominou abscesso perianal prévio ($p=0,001$). Não houve diferença estatística na continência anal entre os pacientes submetidos a fistulotomia com ou sem sedenho.

Conclusão: Verifica-se predomínio de pacientes do sexo masculino e uma baixa incidência de recidiva e sintomas de desordens da continência anal, além de um predomínio de fístulas complexas.

© 2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Perianal fistula is a condition commonly found in surgical practice¹ and can be defined as an abnormal communication between two epithelialized surfaces, usually the anal canal with the perianal region.²⁻⁴ It has an incidence of approximately 1 in 10,000 individuals, with a predisposition for the male gender (2-7:1), occurring mainly in patients aged between 30 and 50 years^{5,6} and in 80% of cases originating from infection in the glandular crypts (cryptoglandular).⁶

Clinically, it manifests as persistent or intermittent drainage of perianal secretion associated with pruritus and eventual bleeding.⁷ Therefore, the diagnosis is based on the anamnesis and physical examination, during which the external fistula orifice, its location and distance from the anal verge must be observed, in search of a palpable trajectory. After that, the digital examination of the distal rectum and anal canal is performed, paying attention to the tonus of the anorectal sphincter complex, looking for tumors and, eventually, palpation of the internal fistulous orifice, which can be visualized through the anoscopy.

Subsequently, complementary propaedeutic actions should be continued, especially in cases of complex and recurrent fistulas,⁷ with the aid of computed tomography of the pelvis, but mainly magnetic resonance and endoanal ultrasonography.^{6,8} However, the fistula can be considered as simple, if the external orifice is close to the anal verge, with a short trajectory, after ruling out the hypothesis of Crohn's disease.⁹

Once the complexity has been defined, those of low transsphincteric location or the intersphincteric ones with involvement of the external anal sphincter less than 30% are

classified as simple.⁶ On the other hand, complex fistulas are the ones that have high transsphincteric, suprasphincteric, and extrasphincteric trajectories, as well as horseshoe fistulas. Additionally, those associated with inflammatory bowel disease, previous pelvic radiation, associated symptoms of anal incontinence, and those with chronic diarrhea, as well as anterior fistulas in women are also considered complex.^{10,11}

The treatment of the perianal fistula is mainly surgical, with the objective of eradicating the fistulous trajectory,¹² identifying the internal fistula orifice and searching for adjacent collections, without lesions to the anorectal sphincter complex.^{7,12,13} However, this ideal proposal may be difficult to achieve, with risk of anal incontinence and fistula recurrence, which can occur in up to 18% of cases.^{1,14}

Objectives

The primary objective was to perform a retrospective analysis using electronic medical record data of patients submitted to surgical treatment of perianal fistula in Santa Marcelina Hospital, São Paulo, SP. A secondary objective was to verify the incidence of recurrence and anal continence disorders, in addition to the complexity and types of fistulas and characterization of the patients.

Materials and methods

A retrospective study was carried out through the analysis of electronic medical record data of patients submitted to surgical treatment of perianal fistula in Santa Marcelina Hospital,

São Paulo, between January 2010 and July 2015, after excluding patients with inflammatory bowel disease.

The data were tabulated in an Excel worksheet with analysis of gender, age, body mass index, level of schooling, comorbidities, history of perianal abscess, symptomatology, classification and location of the perianal fistula, type of surgery, postoperative complications, and recurrence.

Statistical analysis

Data were described as mean ± standard deviation (extremes) or absolute frequency (percentage). Fischer's exact test and Relative Risk (RR) calculation (95% Confidence Interval) were used to study the association between qualitative variables. Student's t test for independent samples was used to study the difference between quantitative variables. Binary logistic regression was used to study the association between preoperative or intraoperative factors. The level of statistical significance was set at 95%.

Results

During the period from January 2010 to July 2015, 302 surgeries were performed to treat perianal fistulas. The final sample consisted of 200 patients submitted to surgical treatment. The flowchart of patient involvement with the study is described in Fig. 1.

The socio-demographic characteristics and medical-obstetric history stratified by gender of patients referred for surgical treatment of perianal fistulas of cryptoglandular etiology are described in Table 1. Among men, there was a higher incidence of patients with lower educational level ($p=0.02$), hypertension (0.03), diabetes (0.05), and older age ($p=0.001$),




Fig. 1 – Flow chart of patient involvement in the study.

whereas previous perianal abscess was predominant in women ($p=0.001$).

The complaints reported by the patients undergoing preoperative evaluation are described in Table 2, with the presence of secretion being the most frequent complaint, followed by perianal lesion perceived by the patient, with no statistically significant difference regarding the symptoms between the genders.

In the intraoperative period, the fistulas were classified in relation to the anal sphincter and position using Goodsall's rule. The highest incidence was of intersphincteric fistulas (140 patients). Regarding the position, there was no difference between anterior and posterior fistulas, with 97 and 103 cases (greater than 200), respectively. The analysis is stratified by

Table 1 – Sociodemographic characteristics and history of patients involved in the study.

	General n = 200	Male n = 132	Female n = 68	p
Age (years)	43.3 ± 14 (15–82)	46.4 ± 14 (15–82)	37.3 ± 11.9 (20–75)	0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.5 ± 5.9 (16.6–55.3)	29 ± 6.0 (16.6–55.3)	27.6 ± 5.6 (16.9–40.9)	0.12
Level of schooling				
Illiterate	19 (9.5%)	15 (11.4%)	4 (6%)	0.02
Elementary school	72 (36%)	55 (41.7%)	17 (25%)	
High school	92 (46%)	51 (38.6%)	41 (60%)	
College/University	17 (8.5%)	11 (8.3%)	6 (9%)	
Hypertension	44 (22%)	35 (26%)	9 (13%)	0.03
Diabetes mellitus	13 (6.5%)	13 (9.8%)	0 (0%)	0.05
HIV	6 (3.0%)	6 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	0.09
Smoking	42 (21%)	31(23%)	11 (16%)	0.27
Previous orifice surgery	61 (30.5%)	36 (27.3%)	25 (36.8)	0.19
Previous abscess	113 (57%)	63 (47.7%)	50 (70.3%)	0.001
Previous pregnancy			40 (58.8%)	
Vaginal delivery			26 (38.2%)	
Forceps			8 (11.8%)	
Episiotomy			11 (16%)	
Mean pregnancies			1.4 ± 1.6 (0–7)	

BMI, body mass index; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

Table 2 – Preoperative signs and symptoms reported by patients undergoing surgical treatment of perianal fistula.

	General n = 200	Male n = 132	Female n = 68	p
Secretion	164 (82%)	105 (79.5%)	59 (86.8%)	0.24
Perceived lesion	147 (73.5%)	94 (71.2%)	53 (77.9%)	0.39
Pain	103 (51.5%)	66 (50%)	37 (54.4%)	0.65
Soiling	3 (1.5%)	1 (0.8%)	2 (2.9%)	0.27




Fig. 2 – Characteristics of perianal fistulas in 200 patients submitted to surgery regarding type and location.

gender in Fig. 2. One woman and two men had two fistulas that were treated at the same surgical procedure.

Most patients (50%) tolerated postoperative fistulotomy without reporting any complaints to the surgeon. However, the five most frequent complaints reported during the postoperative follow-up among these patients were pain (25%), soiling (20%), use of clothing protectors (14%) and difficulty in hygiene (12%).

On the other hand, among the patients submitted to fistulotomy with the use of seton, only 25.7% were asymptomatic at the postoperative period. The complaints in this group were seton loss in 32% of cases, pain (25%), difficulty in hygiene (18%) and soiling (15%), in addition to the need for reoperation in the second phase of surgical treatment for the removal or passage of a new seton when the result was

not satisfactory. Furthermore, there was no statistically significant difference regarding these postoperative complaints when comparing the different surgical techniques, as shown in Table 3, although it was observed that the group of patients submitted to fistulotomy without seton showed a higher percentage of asymptomatic patients, with a statistically significant difference (50% × 25.7%; $p = 0.002$).

After performing the binary logistic regression analysis of the preoperative conditions, type of surgery performed and location of the fistula, which were analyzed in association with symptoms of incontinence and disease recurrence, an association was demonstrated between disease recurrence and intersphincteric ($p = 0.03$), transsphincteric ($p = 0.044$) and extrasphincteric ($p = 0.005$) positions. Regarding complaints of anal incontinence, even if transient, there was an association with previous abscess ($p = 0.034$), number of pregnancies ($p = 0.019$) and anterior orifice surgery ($p = 0.021$).

The recurrence rate in patients that were initially submitted to fistulotomy was four patients (8.3%), whereas in those submitted to fistulotomy with seton, this rate was verified in 22 patients (14.5%), with no difference in the incidence of recurrence between patients submitted to either technique (8.3% and 14.5%) for fistulotomy without and with seton, respectively; $p = 0.33$; RR 0.61 (CI: 0.24–1.5).

The patients had an average of 4.4 (± 2.6) postoperative consultations and mean follow-up time was 10.2 (± 8.7) months; at the end of follow-up, perianal fistula resolution with surgical treatment was attained by 184 (92%) patients. A total of 85.4% (41 patients) of those submitted to fistulotomy reported absence of previous symptoms, whereas 94.1% (143 cases) of patients submitted to fistulotomy with seton reported improvement with treatment; $p = 0.07$; RR: 1.38 (CI: 0.89–2.0).

Table 3 – Occurrence of signs and symptoms referred by the patients in the postoperative period of fistulotomy without and with the use of seton.

	Fistulotomy n = 48	Seton n = 152	p	RR and (95%CI)
Acute pain	12 (25%)	35 (23%)	0.84	0.61 (0.62–1.92)
Difficulty in hygiene	6 (12.5%)	28 (18.4%)	0.39	0.60 (0.32–1.50)
Loss of seton		50 (32.9%)		
Infection	4 (8.3%)	8 (5.3%)	0.48	1.4 (0.61–3.3)
No complaints	24 (50%)	39 (25.7%)	0.002	2.2 (1.3–3.5)
Reoperation	5 (10.4%)	62 (40.8%)	0.0001	1.4 (1.2–1.6)
Soiling	10 (20.8%)	24 (15.8%)	0.51	1.3 (0.71–2.3)
Gas leakage	3 (6.3%)	5 (3.3%)	0.40	1.6 (0.63–4.0)
Fluid leakage	1 (2.1%)	10 (6.6%)	0.46	0.35 (0.1–2.4)
Solid leakage	3 (6.3%)	4 (2.6%)	0.36	1.8 (0.75–4.5)
Clothing protectors	7 (14.6%)	10 (6.6%)	0.13	1.8 (0.98–3.5)
Social life alterations	1 (2.1%)	13 (8.6%)	0.20	0.28 (0.1–1.9)
Sexual life alterations	1 (2.1%)	4 (2.6%)	0.99	0.83 (0.14–4.9)

Discussion

Perianal fistula is a disease with an incidence of around 1 to 2.3 per 10,000 inhabitants per year¹⁵ with a predisposition for the male gender, mainly affecting individuals in their productive years, around the 3rd to the 5th decades.¹⁶ A higher incidence was also observed in males, that is, 1.95:1 with an older mean age of 43.3 ± 14 years, both consistent with data from the literature.

Clinically, it is mainly characterized by the presence of tumor or swelling, secretion and pain.¹⁷ Additionally, it is known that approximately 30–50% of patients with anorectal abscess will develop a persistent trajectory or anorectal fistula with communication from the anal canal to the perineal skin, being impossible to predict or prevent this evolution.⁷ In our study, 113 patients (57%) had a previous history of anorectal abscess, and a higher incidence of this previous history was observed in females (70.3% – $p = 0.001$).

Regarding the surgical treatment of the perianal fistula, it is known that it is based on the eradication of the fistulous trajectory with maintenance of anal continence. For this purpose, fistulas that involve less than one-third of the external anal sphincter muscle are usually treated through fistulotomy, whereas complex ones, with significant involvement of the internal and external anal sphincter muscles are treated through more complex techniques, among which is the fistulotomy with the use of seton.^{18,19}

The use of the seton in the surgical planning of the perianal fistula is one of the most commonly used techniques, especially in cases of complex disease, and it is based on the chronic inflammatory reaction, with consequent fibrosis caused by the foreign body that helps in the prevention of sphincter retraction when its division is performed, reducing, but not eliminating major damages, among them the risk of anal incontinence.^{4,20}

Subhas et al.¹⁸ analyzed 24 patients with transsphincteric fistulas, 25% of which had Cronh's disease, and in all of them the fistulotomy was performed with the seton passage, with progressive traction and therapeutic success achieved by 75% of the patients. Moreover, there was an index of satisfaction with the technique and 90% of the patients considered they would repeat the treatment with a seton in case of disease recurrence. Similarly, other studies in the literature have shown a recurrence rate of 0–6% with the use of seton.²¹ We, on the other hand, found a rate of recurrence of 8.3% in patients submitted to fistulotomy and 14.5% in patients submitted to fistulotomy with seton.

Regarding the incidence of anal incontinence after surgery for perianal fistula, it is known that it can occur, even if transiently, in up to 18% of patients^{1,14}; in our study, an association of this morbidity was demonstrated with previous perianal abscess, number of pregnancies and history of orifice surgeries, leading us to believe that in these situations anorectal manometry should be routinely requested.

Conclusion

A retrospective analysis of cases of perianal fistula submitted to surgery in Santa Marcelina Hospital showed a

predominance of male patients, more than half related to previous perianal abscess, especially in females, and a low incidence of recurrence and symptoms of anal continence disorders, in addition to a predominance of complex fistulas.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Subasinghe D, Samarasekera DN. Comparison of preoperative endoanal ultrasonography with intraoperative findings for fistula in ano. *World J Surg.* 2010;34:1123–7.
2. Seow-Choen F, Nicholls RJ. Anal fistula. *Br J Surg.* 1992;79:197–205.
3. The Standards Practice Task Force, The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons. Practice parameters for treatment of fistula in ano: supporting documentation. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1996;39:1363–72.
4. Dudukgian H, Abcarian H. Why do we have so much trouble treating anal fistula. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2011;17:3292–6.
5. Sainio P. Fistula-in-ano in a defined population: incidence and epidemiological aspects. *Ann Chir Gynaecol.* 1984;73:219–24.
6. Tabry H, Farrands PA. Update on anal fistulae: surgical perspective for the gastroenterologist. *Can J Gastroenterol.* 2011;25:675–80.
7. Steele SR, Kumar R, Feingold DL, Rafferty JL, Buie D. Practice parameters for the management of perianal abscess and fistula in ano. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2011;54:1465–74.
8. Buchanan GN, Halligan S, Bartram CI, Williams AB, Tarroni D, Cohen CRG. Clinical examination, endosonography, and MR imaging in preoperative assessment of fistula in ano: comparison with outcome-based reference standard. *Radiology.* 2004;233:674–81.
9. Williams JG, Farrands PA, Williams AB, Taylor BA, Lunniss PJ, Sagar PM, et al. The treatment of anal fistula: ACPGBI position statement. *Colorectal Dis.* 2007;9 Suppl. 4:18–50.
10. Parks AG, Stitz RW. The treatment of high fistula-in-ano. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1976;19:487–99.
11. Kondylis PD, Shalabi A, Kondylis LA, Reilly JC. Male cryptoglandular fistula surgery outcomes: a retrospective analysis. *Am J Surg.* 2009;197:325–30.
12. Jordán J, Roig JV, García AJ, Esclapez P, Jordán Y, García G, et al. Importancia de la exploración física y técnicas de imagen en la valoración diagnóstica de las fístulas de ano. *Cir Esp.* 2009;85:238–45.
13. Davies M, Harris D, Lohana P, Chandra Sekaran TV, Morgan AR, Beynon J, et al. The surgical management of fistula-in-ano in a specialist colorectal unit. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2008;23:833–8.
14. Toyonaga T, Tanaka Y, Song JF, Katori R, Sogawa N, Kanyama H, et al. Comparison of accuracy of physical examination and endoanal ultrasonography for preoperative assessment in patients with acute and chronic anal fistula. *Tech Coloproctol.* 2008;12:217–23.
15. Zanotti C, Martínez-Puente C, Pascual I, Pascual M, Herreros D, García-Imo D. An assessment of the incidence of fistula-in-ano in four countries of the European Union. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2007;22:1459–62.
16. Czeiger D, Shaked G, Igov I, Pinski I, Peiser J, Sebbag G. High occurrence of perianal abscess among Bedouin compared to Jews in the southern region of Israel. *BMC Surgery.* 2013;13:1–3.

17. Lim CH, Shin HK, Kang WH, Park CH, Hong SM, Jeong SK, et al. The use of a staged drainage seton for the treatment of anal fistulae or fistulous abscesses. *J Korean Soc Coloproctol.* 2012;28:309-14.
18. Subhas G, Gupta A, Balaraman S, Mittal VK, Pearlman R. Non-cutting setons for progressive migration of complex fistula tracts: a new spin on an old technique. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2011;26:793-8.
19. Martins I, Pereira JC. Supurações perianais: abscessos e fistulas anais. *Rev Port Coloproct.* 2010;7:118-24.
20. Garcia-Aguilar J, Belmonte C, Wong DW, Goldberg SM, Madoff RD. Cutting seton versus two-stage seton fistulotomy in the surgical management of high anal fistula. *Br J Surg.* 1998;85:243-5.
21. Perez F, Arroyo A, Serrano P, Candela F, Sanchez A, Calpena R. Fistulotomy with primary sphincter reconstruction in the management of complex fistula-in-ano: prospective study of clinical and manometric results. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2005;200:897-903.